

AN OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM WITH APPLICATIONS TO OPTIMAL DESIGN THEORY*

by

Ching-Shui Cheng

University of California, Berkeley

Dedicated to the memory of Jack Kiefer

Technical Report No. 61

April 1986

* Research supported by National Science Foundation under Grant No. MCS-82-00909 and DMS 85-02784.

Department of Statistics
University of California
Berkeley, California

AN OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM WITH APPLICATIONS TO OPTIMAL DESIGN THEORY*

by

Ching-Shui Cheng

University of California, Berkeley

Dedicated to the memory of Jack Kiefer

Summary

The problem of minimizing $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$ subject to the constraints $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = A$, $\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) = B$ and $x_i \geq 0$, is solved. The solutions are different depending upon whether $(\text{sgn } g'')f''/g''$ is an increasing or decreasing function. The result is used to show that for certain designs, if they are optimal with respect to two criteria, then they are also optimal with respect to many other criteria.

AMS (1980) subject classifications: 62K05

Key words and phrases: A-optimality, D-optimality, E-optimality, optimal design, ϕ_p -optimality

* Research supported by National Science Foundation grants MCS-82-00909 and DMS 85-02784.

AN OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM WITH APPLICATIONS TO OPTIMAL DESIGN THEORY

1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to solve and discuss applications of the following minimization problem to the theory of optimal design:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \text{ subject to the constraints} \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = A, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) = B, \quad \text{and } x_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where A, B are fixed constants, $A > 0$, and f, g are real-valued functions. In fact, one can also consider the more general problem of minimizing $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{f}(x_i)$ subject to $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}(x_i) = A$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}(x_i) = B$, and $x_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If \tilde{h} is a one-to-one function, then a trivial transformation reduces the latter to (1.1).

Problem (1.1) arises from, but is not restricted to, the theory of optimal experimental design. Special cases of (1.1) with $g(x) = x^2$ and x^{-1} have been studied in Cheng (1978) and Cheng, Masaro and Wong (1985), respectively, as tools for solving some problems in block and weighing designs. An application to graph theory can be found in Cheng (1981). In design applications, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are usually the eigenvalues of a symmetric nonnegative definite matrix (the so-called information matrix), which explains the constraint $x_i \geq 0$ for all i .

The use of problem (1.1) in optimal design will be discussed after the following review of some preliminaries. Let \mathcal{D} be the class of all competing designs in a certain setting; to each design d in \mathcal{D} ,

there corresponds an $n \times n$ information matrix C_d . We are interested in finding a design d^* which minimizes $\phi(C_d)$ over \mathcal{D} for some optimality functional ϕ (see Kiefer, 1974). Typical examples of ϕ are

$$(i) \quad \phi_0(C_d) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_{di}^{-1} \right)^{1/n},$$

$$(ii) \quad \phi_p(C_d) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{di}^{-p} \right)^{1/p}, \quad p > 0$$

and

$$(iii) \quad \phi_\infty(C_d) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_{di}^{-1},$$

where $\lambda_{d1}, \lambda_{d2}, \dots, \lambda_{dn}$ are the eigenvalues of C_d . A design minimizing (i), (ii) or (iii) is called, respectively, D-, ϕ_p -, or E-optimal, and a ϕ_1 -optimal design is also known as A-optimal. Since $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \phi_p(C_d) = \phi_\infty(C_d)$ and $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \phi_p(C_d) = \phi_0(C_d)$, E- and D-optimal designs are often referred to as ϕ_∞ - and ϕ_0 -optimal, respectively. Notice that one can also define the D-criterion in terms of the functional $\phi(C_d) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \lambda_{di}$. In this paper, we shall restrict to criteria of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_{di})$, where f is a real-valued function. This covers D- and ϕ_p -criteria by the choices of $f(x) = -\log x$ and x^{-p} , respectively, and the E-criterion is also included as a limiting case.

Usually the direct search for an optimal design is difficult, but some simple sufficient conditions for optimality are available in the literature. For certain well-structured designs, it is often possible to show that if they are optimal with respect to one or two simple criteria, then they are also optimal with respect to many other criteria. One notable example is Kiefer's (1975) result on universal optimality:

Proposition 1.1. If a design with $\lambda_{d1} = \lambda_{d2} = \dots = \lambda_{dn}$ maximizes $\text{tr } C_d$, then it minimizes $\phi(C_d)$ for all nonincreasing, convex and orthogonally invariant ϕ .

The same paper also contains the following result:

Proposition 1.2. If a design with $\lambda_{d1} = \lambda_{d2} = \dots = \lambda_{dn}$ is ϕ_p -optimal for some $p \geq 0$, then it is ϕ_q -optimal for all $q \geq p$.

Proposition 1.2 in fact can be viewed as a corollary of Proposition 1.1, although it was not proved this way in Kiefer's paper. To gain some insight, let us first consider the following problem:

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \text{ subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = A. \quad (1.2)$$

If f is convex, then the minimum value is $nf(\frac{A}{n})$, attained at $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = A/n$. Furthermore, if f is decreasing, then $nf(A/n)$ is also a decreasing function of A . Therefore if a design with $\lambda_{d1} = \dots = \lambda_{dn}$ maximizes $\text{tr } C_d$, then it minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_{di})$ for all decreasing and convex f . This proves a slightly weaker version of Proposition 1.1. Proposition 1.2 can be proven in a similar fashion. In fact, instead of minimizing $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{-q}$ subject to $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{-p} = A$, upon the transformation $y = x^{-p}$ (or $-\log x$ for $p = 0$), it is sufficient to consider the minimization of $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{q/p}$ (or $\sum_{i=1}^n e^{qy_i}$ for $p = 0$) subject to $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = A$, which then reduces to the earlier case. This kind of argument leads us to the following more general result:

Proposition 1.3. If a design with $\lambda_{d1} = \dots = \lambda_{dn}$ minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n g(\lambda_{di})$ over \mathcal{D} for a certain real-valued function g , then it minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n f(g(\lambda_{di}))$ for all convex increasing functions f .

Proposition 1.2 becomes a special case of Proposition 1.3 with $g(x) = x^{-p}$, $f(x) = x^{q/p}$ for $q > p > 0$ and $g(x) = -\log x$, $f(x) = e^{qx}$ for $q > p = 0$. This proof of Proposition 1.2 is different from Kiefer's original proof; it links Proposition 1.2 to Proposition 1.1 and makes clear the key role played by the convexity and increasing monotonicity of $x^{q/p}$ (when $q > p > 0$) and e^{qx} (when $q > p = 0$).

When a design with $\lambda_{d1} = \dots = \lambda_{dn}$ does not exist, one would have to add another constraint to (1.2) and solve (1.1). Hopefully some designs optimal with respect to two criteria can be shown to be optimal with respect to many other criteria. Thus Cheng (1978) solved the simple case $g(x) = x^2$ and showed that a design with two distinct λ_{di} 's, the larger one having multiplicity 1, minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_{di})$ for a large class of functions f if it maximizes $\text{tr } C_{\tilde{d}}$ and also minimizes another function of $C_{\tilde{d}}$. The case $g(x) = x^{-1}$ was solved by Cheng, Masaro and Wong (1985) as a tool for establishing that if a design with two distinct λ_{di} 's, the smaller one being simple, is A-optimal and maximizes $\text{tr } C_{\tilde{d}}$, then it is ϕ_q -optimal for all $0 \leq q \leq 1$. These results provided useful tools for solving some problems in optimal block and weighing designs. In this paper, a generalization and unification of these optimality tools will be obtained by working out the general solution of (1.1). It turns out that whether $(f''/g'') \text{sgn } g''$ is an increasing or decreasing function plays an important role in determining the solution, where $\text{sgn } g''$

equals 1 when $g'' > 0$ and equals -1 when $g'' < 0$. It is interesting to point out that the same kind of conditions on $(f''/g'')\text{sgn } g''$ also appeared in Galil and Kiefer's (1983, Theorem 1) work in comparing the performance of two designs tied at two criteria. When $g(x) = x^2$, $(\text{sgn } g'')f''/g'' = \frac{1}{2}f''$. This leads to the distinction between type 1 and type 2 criteria according to $f''' < 0$ or $f''' > 0$, as treated in Cheng (1978).

The results in this paper have been presented in the Kiefer-Wolfowitz Memorial Statistical Research Conference held in July 1983 at Cornell University. Recently Kunert and Martin (1985) independently proved the same results, but for functions of the form $f(x) = x^p$ and $g(x) = x^q$ only. They also applied the results to study optimal treatment designs for correlated errors.

2. Solution to Problem 1.1

Throughout this section, we shall assume

- (i) f and g are twice continuously differentiable on $(0,A)$ and $f(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \infty$,
- (ii) g'' is of one sign on $(0,A)$,
- (iii) $ng(A/n) < B < g(A) + (n-1)g(0)$ if $g'' > 0$ on $(0,A)$, and $ng(A/n) > B > g(A) + (n-1)g(0)$ if $g'' < 0$ on $(0,A)$, where $g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x)$ and can be ∞ or $-\infty$.

Assumption (ii) means that g is either strictly convex or strictly concave on $(0,A)$. Since $f(0) = \infty$, the minimum of $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$ cannot be attained at a point with some zero coordinates. Assumption (iii) assures that the set $S_{AB} \equiv \{ \tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = A, \dots \}$

$\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) = B, x_i > 0$ is nonempty (see Lemma 2.2) and that all the points in S_{AB} have at least two distinct coordinates, ruling out the trivial case $S_{AB} = \{(A/n, \dots, A/n)\}$.

Then we have

Theorem 2.1. Assume (i), (ii) and (iii). If $(\text{sgn } g'')f''/g''$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, A)$, then the point $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S_{AB}$ with $x_1 > x_2 = \dots = x_n$ is a solution to problem 1.1. On the other hand, if $(\text{sgn } g'')f''/g''$ is strictly increasing on $(0, A)$, then the minimum of $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$ over S_{AB} is attained at $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_1 = \dots = x_{k^*} > x_{k^*+1} = \dots = x_n$, where k^* is the largest positive integer $k < n$ such that $kx + (n-k)y = A$, $kg(x) + (n-k)g(y) = B$, $x > y > 0$, has a solution.

Before proving Theorem 2.1, we first consider the existence and uniqueness of the kind of \underline{x} as described in the theorem.

Lemma 2.2. Assume (i), (ii) and (iii). Then

- (a) there exists $\underline{x} \in S_{AB}$ such that $x_1 > x_2 = \dots = x_n$. In particular, S_{AB} is nonempty;
- (b) for any real number k (not necessarily an integer) such that $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, if there is a solution (x, y) to $kx + (n-k)y = A$, $kg(x) + (n-k)g(y) = B$ and $x > y > 0$, then it is unique;
- (c) if the system of equations $kx + (n-k)y = A$, $kg(x) + (n-k)g(y) = B$ has a solution $x > y > 0$, where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then for any k' such that $1 \leq k' \leq k$, $k'x' + (n-k')y' = A$ and $k'g(x') + (n-k')g(y') = B$ also has a solution $x' > y' > 0$.

Proof. We only have to prove the case $g'' > 0$ on $(0,A)$. That of $g'' < 0$ can be treated similarly.

(a) The range of $g(x) + (n-1)g((A-x)/(n-1))$ over $x \in (A/n,A)$ is $(ng(A/n), g(A) + (n-1)g(0))$. Since $ng(A/n) < B < g(A) + (n-1)g(0)$, there exists $x^* \in (A/n,A)$ such that $g(x^*) + (n-1)g((A-x^*)/(n-1)) = B$. Obviously $x^* > (A-x^*)/(n-1) > 0$; then (a) is proven by letting $x_1 = x^*$ and $x_2 = \dots = x_n = (A-x^*)/(n-1)$.

(b) In order that $kx + (n-k)y = A$ and $x > y > 0$, one must have $y = (A-kx)/(n-k)$ and $A/n < x < A/k$. Then we have $kg(x) + (n-k)g(y) = kg(x) + (n-k)g((A-kx)/(n-k))$, which, by differentiation, can be seen to be a strictly increasing function of x on $(A/n, A/k)$.

(c) By the first sentence in the proof of (b), the range of $kg(x) + (n-k)g(y)$ over $\{(x,y): kx + (n-k)y = A, x > y > 0\}$ is $(ng(A/n), kg(A/k) + (n-k)g(0))$. We may assume $g(0) < \infty$; otherwise the result holds trivially. Then $kg(A/k) + (n-k)g(0)$ can be seen to be a strictly decreasing function of k , by differentiation and the convexity of g . It follows that if $k' \leq k$, then $(ng(A/n), kg(A/k) + (n-k)g(0))$ is contained in $(ng(A/n), k'g(A/k') + (n-k')g(0))$. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Again, we shall only consider the case $g'' > 0$ on $(0,A)$. For $g'' < 0$, one only has to reverse inequalities at several places.

Let the minimum of $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$ over S_{AB} be attained at a point $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. By Lagrange's theorem, there exist numbers α

and β such that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) + \alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - A \right) + \beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) - B \right) \right\} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

i.e.,
$$f'(x_i) + \alpha + \beta g'(x_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We claim that the equation $f'(x) + \alpha + \beta g'(x) = 0$ has at most two distinct solutions, which implies that \underline{a} must have only two distinct coordinates. Suppose x and y are two distinct solutions of the above equation. Then

$$f'(x) + \beta g'(x) = f'(y) + \beta g'(y),$$

and hence
$$[f'(x) - f'(y)]/[g'(x) - g'(y)] = -\beta.$$

Let $\tilde{x} = g'(x)$ and $\tilde{y} = g'(y)$. Then

$$\{f'[(g')^{-1}(\tilde{x})] - f'[(g')^{-1}(\tilde{y})]\}/(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) = -\beta. \quad (2.1)$$

The derivative of $f'[(g')^{-1}(\tilde{x})]$ is $f''[(g')^{-1}(\tilde{x})]/g''[(g')^{-1}(\tilde{x})]$, which, by assumption, is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing. Therefore for fixed \tilde{x} , there is at most one \tilde{y} satisfying (2.1). This proves the claim that \underline{a} has only two distinct coordinates.

Now consider the equations

$$\begin{aligned} ka + (n-k)b &= A \\ kg(a) + (n-k)g(b) &= B \end{aligned}, \quad a > b > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, but k is not necessarily an integer. By Lemma 2.2, the solution is unique if it exists. So a and b are uniquely determined by A , B and k , and can be expressed as

$a(A,B,k)$ and $b(A,B,k)$. The theorem is proved if we can show that for fixed A, B , $F(A,B,k) \equiv kf(a(A,B,k)) + (n-k)f(b(A,B,k))$ is a strictly increasing (respectively, decreasing) function of k when f''/g'' is strictly decreasing (respectively, increasing) on $(0,A)$.

Now assume f''/g'' is strictly decreasing. We shall show that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial k}(A,B,k) > 0$. Differentiating $ka + (n-k)b = A$ and $kg(a) + (n-k)g(b) = B$ with respect to k , we get

$$a - b + k\frac{\partial a}{\partial k} + (n-k)\frac{\partial b}{\partial k} = 0,$$

$$g(a) - g(b) + kg'(a)\frac{\partial a}{\partial k} + (n-k)g'(b)\frac{\partial b}{\partial k} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial k} = k^{-1}\{g'(a) - g'(b)\}^{-1}\{g(b) - g(a) - g'(b)(b-a)\},$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial k} = (n-k)^{-1}\{g'(a) - g'(b)\}^{-1}\{g(a) - g(b) + g'(a)(b-a)\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial k}(A,B,k) &= f(a) - f(b) + kf'(a)\frac{\partial a}{\partial k} + (n-k)f'(b)\frac{\partial b}{\partial k} \\ &= \{g'(a) - g'(b)\}^{-1}\{[f(a) - f(b)][g'(a) - g'(b)] \\ &\quad + f'(a)[g(b) - g(a) - g'(b)(b-a)] \\ &\quad + f'(b)[g(a) - g(b) + g'(a)(b-a)]\}, \end{aligned}$$

which, since $g'' > 0$, has the same sign as

$$\begin{aligned} &\{g'(a) - g'(b)\}\frac{\partial F}{\partial k}(A,B,k) \\ &= \{f(a) - f(b)\}\{g'(a) - g'(b)\} + f'(a)\{g(b) - g(a) - g'(b)(b-a)\} \\ &\quad + f'(b)\{g(a) - g(b) + g'(a)(b-a)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

For fixed b , denote the right side of (2.3) by $G(a)$. Since $G(b) = 0$, to show that $G(a) > 0$ for $a > b$, it is enough to prove $G'(a) > 0$ for $a > b$, i.e.,

$$g''(a)\{f(a) - f(b) - f'(b)(a-b)\} - f''(a)\{g(a) - g(b) - g'(b)(a-b)\} > 0$$

for $a > b$. This is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(a) - f(b) - f'(b)(a-b)}{g(a) - g(b) - g'(b)(a-b)} > \frac{f''(a)}{g''(a)} \quad \text{for } a > b, \quad (2.4)$$

again due to the convexity of g . Now since f''/g'' is decreasing on $(0, A)$,

$$f''(t)/g''(t) > f''(a)/g''(a) \quad \text{for } b < t < a.$$

We have $f''(t) > g''(t) \frac{f''(a)}{g''(a)}$ for $b < t < a$.

Then
$$\int_b^a \int_b^x f''(t) dt dx > \int_b^a \int_b^x g''(t) \frac{f''(a)}{g''(a)} dt dx.$$

As a consequence,

$$\frac{\int_b^a \int_b^x f''(t) dt dx}{\int_b^a \int_b^x g''(t) dt dx} > \frac{f''(a)}{g''(a)},$$

which yields (2.4) and the proof is completed.

Remark 2.3. The condition $f(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \infty$ in (i) is to assure that the minimum of $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$ over $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = A, \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) = B, x_i \geq 0\}$ does not occur at a point with some coordinates equal to zero.

The same goal can also be achieved, for instance, by requiring

$|g(0)| = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} |g(x)| = \infty$. Thus in Theorem 2.1, we can replace " $f(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \infty$ " with " $|g(0)| = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} |g(x)| = \infty$ ". Another way to remove the above condition on f is to assume

$B < (n-1)g(A/(n-1))$ when $g'' > 0$ and $B > (n-1)g(A/(n-1))$ when $g'' < 0$.

3. Applications

In this section, we shall use Theorem 2.1 to show that for certain designs, as long as they are optimal with respect to two criteria, they are also optimal with respect to many others. First we shall see how the minimum value of $\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$ over S_{AB} depends on A and B .

Let $A^* = \max_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \text{tr } C_d$. Throughout this section, we shall also assume that g' is of one sign on $(0, A^*)$.

By differentiating $ka + (n-k)b = A$ and $kg(a) + (n-k)g(b) = B$ with respect to A and B , and then solving for $\partial a/\partial A$, $\partial b/\partial A$, $\partial a/\partial B$, $\partial b/\partial B$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial a}{\partial A} &= -g'(b)k^{-1}\{g'(a) - g'(b)\}^{-1}, \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial A} &= g'(a)(n-k)^{-1}\{g'(a) - g'(b)\}^{-1}, \\ \frac{\partial a}{\partial B} &= k^{-1}\{g'(a) - g'(b)\}^{-1}, \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial B} &= -(n-k)^{-1}\{g'(a) - g'(b)\}^{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F}{\partial B}(A, B, k) &= kf'(a)\frac{\partial a}{\partial B} + (n-k)f'(b)\frac{\partial b}{\partial B} \\ &= \{f'(a) - f'(b)\}/\{g'(a) - g'(b)\},\end{aligned}$$

which is positive (negative) if $f''g'' > 0$ (< 0) on $(0, A)$. Thus for fixed k and A , $F(A, B, k)$ is strictly increasing in B if $f''g'' > 0$ on $(0, A)$, and is strictly decreasing in B if $f''g'' < 0$ on $(0, A)$.

Now we vary A . From (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F}{\partial A}(A, B, k) &= kf'(a)\frac{\partial a}{\partial A} + (n-k)f'(b)\frac{\partial b}{\partial A} \\ &= \{g'(a)f'(b) - g'(b)f'(a)\}/\{g'(a) - g'(b)\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

Since g' is of one sign on $(0, A^*)$, (3.2) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial A}(A, B, k) = g'(a)g'(b)\{f'(b)/g'(b) - f'(a)/g'(a)\}/\{g'(a) - g'(b)\},$$

which is positive (negative) if $(\text{sgn } g'')f'/g'$ is strictly decreasing (increasing) on $(0, A^*)$. Thus for fixed k and B , $F(A, B, k)$ is strictly increasing in A if $(\text{sgn } g'')f'/g'$ is a strictly decreasing function, and is strictly decreasing in A if $(\text{sgn } g'')f'/g'$ is a strictly increasing function. Combining the above conclusions, Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.3, we have

Theorem 3.1. Let $A^* = \max_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \text{tr } \tilde{C}_d$ and assume

- (i) g is twice continuously differentiable on $(0, A^*)$,
- (ii) g' is of one sign on $(0, A^*)$,
- (iii) g'' is of one sign on $(0, A^*)$.

If there is a design $d^* \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

- (a) \tilde{C}_{d^*} has two distinct nonzero eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{d^*1} > \lambda_{d^*2} = \dots = \lambda_{d^*n},$$

- (b) d^* maximizes $\text{tr } \tilde{C}_d$ over \mathcal{D} ,

and (c) d^* minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n g(\lambda_{di})$ over \mathcal{D} ,

then d^* minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_{di})$ over \mathcal{D} for all f such that

- (α) f is twice continuously differentiable on $(0, A^*)$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = f(0) = \infty,$$

- (β) $(\text{sgn } g'')f''/g''$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, A^*)$,

- (γ) $f''g'' > 0$ on $(0, A^*)$,

and (δ) $(\text{sgn } g'')f'/g''$ is strictly increasing on $(0, A^*)$.

In (b), "maximizes" is replaced by "minimizes" if in (δ), "increasing" is changed to "decreasing". Also, the condition " $f(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \infty$ "

in (α) can be dropped if we assume $|g(0)| = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} |g(x)| = \infty$ instead. Furthermore, all the above results hold if (α) and (β) are replaced respectively by

(α') $C_{\tilde{d}^*}$ has two distinct nonzero eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{d^*1} = \lambda_{d^*2} = \dots = \lambda_{d^*,n-1} > \lambda_{d^*n}$$

and (β') $(\text{sgn } g'')f''/g''$ is strictly increasing on $(0, A^*)$.

The same kind of argument that leads Proposition 1.1 to Proposition 1.3 now yields

Theorem 3.2. Let $A^* = \max_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \text{tr } C_{\tilde{d}}$, h be a nonnegative-valued function defined on $(0, A^*)$ and $\tilde{A} \equiv \sup_{x \in (0, A^*)} h(x)$. Assume

- (i) g is twice continuously differentiable on $(0, \tilde{A})$,
- (ii) g' is of one sign on $(0, \tilde{A})$,
- (iii) g'' is of one sign on $(0, \tilde{A})$.

If there is a design $d^* \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

- (a) $C_{\tilde{d}^*}$ has two distinct nonzero eigenvalues λ and μ , where λ is simple and $h(\lambda) > h(\mu)$,

- (b) d^* minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n h(\lambda_{di})$ over \mathcal{D} ,

and (c) d^* minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n g(h(\lambda_{di}))$ over \mathcal{D} ,

then d^* minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n f(h(\lambda_{di}))$ over \mathcal{D} for all f such that

- (\(\alpha\)) f is twice continuously differentiable on $(0, \tilde{A})$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = f(0) = \infty,$$

- (\(\beta\)) $(\text{sgn } g'')f''/g''$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \tilde{A})$,

- (\(\gamma\)) $f''g'' > 0$ on $(0, \tilde{A})$,

- (\(\delta\)) $(\text{sgn } g'')f'/g'$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \tilde{A})$.

If we also assume that $|g(0)| = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} |g(x)| = \infty$, then the condition " $f(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \infty$ " in (α) can be dropped. Furthermore, all the above results hold if (α) and (β) are replaced respectively by

(α') C_{d^*} has two distinct nonzero eigenvalues λ and μ such that λ is simple and $h(\lambda) < h(\mu)$,

and (β') $(\text{sgn } g'')f''/g''$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \tilde{A})$.

Remark. It is assumed in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 that at least one of $f(0)$ and $|g(0)|$ is equal to ∞ . The case where both $f(0)$ and $|g(0)|$ are finite is much more complicated, but can be treated along the line of Cheng (1978) in which the case $g(x) = x^2$ was dealt with. For simplicity, we shall not pursue it further in this paper.

Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 are our main results. One can write down numerous applications of these two theorems by specializing f , g and h to various functions. For brevity, we shall only list a few applications to the ϕ_p -criteria in the rest of the paper.

Now choose the $g(x)$ in Theorem 3.1 to be x^{-p} with $p > 0$; also for $0 \leq q < p$, let $f_q(x) = x^{-q}$ when $q \neq 0$ and $f_q(x) = -\log x$ when $q = 0$. Then (i), (ii), (iii), (α) and (γ) are easily seen to be satisfied. We also have

$$(\text{sgn } g''(x))f''_q(x)/g''(x) = \begin{cases} p^{-1}(p+1)^{-1}q(q+1)x^{p-q}, & 0 < q < p, \\ p^{-1}(p+1)^{-1}x^p, & q = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\text{sgn } g''(x))f'_q(x)/g'(x) = \begin{cases} p^{-1}qx^{p-q}, & 0 < q < p, \\ p^{-1}x^p, & q = 0. \end{cases}$$

Both of the above two functions are strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Thus we have

Corollary 3.3. If there is a design $d^* \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

(a) C_{d^*} has two distinct nonzero eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{d^*1} = \lambda_{d^*2} = \dots = \lambda_{d^*,n-1} > \lambda_{d^*n},$$

(b) d^* maximizes $\text{tr } C_{\tilde{d}}$ over \mathcal{D} ,

(c) d^* is ϕ_p -optimal for some $p > 0$,

then d^* is ϕ_q -optimal for all $0 \leq q \leq p$.

The extension of Corollary 3.3 to the case where p or q is negative is straightforward. The result in Corollary 3.3 can also be proved for $p = \infty$; this has been treated in Kunert (1985) and also in Jacroux (1985).

As an application of Theorem 3.2, let $h(x) = x^{-p}$, $g(x) = p^{-1} \log x$ and $f(x) = x^{q/p}$, where $0 < q < p$. Then conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (γ) in Theorem 3.2 are easily seen to be satisfied. Condition (α) is also satisfied with " $f(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \infty$ " replaced by " $g(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x) = -\infty$ ". It is straightforward to see that

$$(\text{sgn } g''(x))f''(x)/g''(x) = p^{-1}q(q-p)x^{q/p},$$

and

$$(\text{sgn } g''(x))f'(x)/g'(x) = -qx^{q/p}.$$

Both are strictly decreasing functions on $(0, \infty)$. Since $g(h(x)) = -\log x$ and $f(h(x)) = x^{-q}$, and $h(x)$ is a decreasing function, we conclude

Corollary 3.4. If there is a design $d^* \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

(a) $C_{\tilde{d}^*}$ has two distinct nonzero eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{d^*1} = \lambda_{d^*2} = \dots = \lambda_{d^*,n-1} > \lambda_{d^*n},$$

(b) d^* is D -optimal,

and (c) d^* is ϕ_p -optimal for some $p > 0$,
 then d^* is ϕ_q -optimal for all $0 \leq q \leq p$.

Is there an analogue of Corollary 3.3 for the case where \underline{C}_{d^*} has two distinct nonzero eigenvalues with the larger one being simple? A simple application of Theorem 3.1 shows that if there is a design $d^* \in \mathcal{D}$ such that \underline{C}_{d^*} has nonzero eigenvalues $\lambda_{d^*1} > \lambda_{d^*2} = \dots = \lambda_{d^*n}$ and d^* is ϕ_p -optimal for some $p \geq 0$, then d^* is ϕ_q -optimal for all $q \geq p$ over the designs with $\text{tr } \underline{C}_d \geq \text{tr } \underline{C}_{d^*}$. This result says nothing about the designs with $\text{tr } \underline{C}_d < \text{tr } \underline{C}_{d^*}$, which, unfortunately, arise more often in applications. So such a straightforward application of Theorem 3.1 does not yield a useful result for designs with the above eigenvalue structure. We shall conclude our paper, however, with a more useful result which generalizes part (a) of Theorem 2.2 in Cheng (1978), the main result in that paper. Now assume (i), (ii), (iii), (a), (b), (α) and (β) in Theorem 3.1. For any design $d \in \mathcal{D}$, let $A_d = \text{tr } \underline{C}_d$ and $B_d = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\lambda_{di})$, where $\lambda_{d1}, \dots, \lambda_{dn}$ are the eigenvalues of \underline{C}_d . Then by Theorem 2.1,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_{di}) \geq f(\lambda(A_d, B_d)) + (n-1)f(\mu(A_d, B_d)), \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_{d^*i}) = f(\lambda(A_{d^*}, B_{d^*})) + (n-1)f(\mu(A_{d^*}, B_{d^*})), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(A_d, B_d) + (n-1)\mu(A_d, B_d) &= A_d, \\ g(\lambda(A_d, B_d)) + (n-1)g(\mu(A_d, B_d)) &= B_d, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\lambda(A_d, B_d) \geq \mu(A_d, B_d) > 0.$$

By assumption (b), $A_d \leq A_{d^*}$. So if $\mu(A_d, B_d) \leq \mu(A_{d^*}, B_{d^*})$, then $(\lambda(A_{d^*}, B_{d^*}), \mu(A_{d^*}, B_{d^*}), \dots, \mu(A_{d^*}, B_{d^*})) \stackrel{W}{\prec} (\lambda(A_d, B_d), \mu(A_d, B_d), \dots, \mu(A_d, B_d))$, where $\stackrel{W}{\prec}$ is upper weak majorization (see Marshall and Olkin (1979)).

By Theorem A.8 in Chapter 3 of Marshall and Olkin (1979), if f is also convex and nonincreasing, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda(A_d, B_d)) + (n-1)f(\mu(A_d, B_d)) & \qquad (3.6) \\ & \geq f(\lambda(A_{d^*}, B_{d^*})) + (n-1)f(\mu(A_{d^*}, B_{d^*})) . \end{aligned}$$

Now (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) together imply that $\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_{di}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_{d^*i})$.

This proves

Theorem 3.5. Assume conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (a) and (b) in Theorem 3.1. If d^* also maximizes $\mu(A_d, B_d)$ over \mathcal{D} , where $\mu(A_d, B_d)$ is defined in (3.5), then d^* minimizes $\sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_{di})$ over \mathcal{D} for all f satisfying (α) , (β) in Theorem 3.1 and

(ϵ) f is convex and nonincreasing on $(0, A^*)$.

Theorem 2.2 of Cheng (1978) corresponds to the choice of $g(x) = x^2$ in Theorem 3.5, which so far has had many applications in solving various design problems. Recently Kunert and Martin (1985) proved the same result for $g(x) = x^{-p}$. They also proved a variant of this result and successfully applied it to prove the optimality of finite Williams II(a) designs (Williams, 1952) for first order autoregressive processes. This adds another item to the list of applications of Problem (1.1). The results in this paper are potentially useful to other situations and it is hoped that more applications can be reported in the future.

Acknowledgment

This paper was delivered at a Statistical Research Conference dedicated to the memory of Jack Kiefer and Jacob Wolfowitz. The Conference was held at Cornell University, July 6-9, 1983, and was a special meeting of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, co-sponsored by the American Statistical Association. Financial support was provided by the National Science Foundation, the Office of Naval Research, the Army Research Office-Durham, and units of Cornell University.

References

1. Cheng, C. S. (1978). Optimality of certain asymmetrical experimental designs, Ann. Statist. 6, 1239-1261.
2. Cheng, C. S. (1981). Maximizing the total number of spanning trees in a graph: two related problems in graph theory and optimum design theory, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 31, 240-248.
3. Cheng, C. S., Masaro, J. S. and Wong, C. S. (1985). Optimal weighing designs, SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth. 6, 259-267.
4. Galil, Z. and Kiefer, J. (1983). Comparison of designs equivalent under one or two criteria, J. Statist. Plann. Inference 8, 103-116.
5. Jacroux, M. (1985). Some sufficient conditions for the type I optimality of block designs, J. Statist. Plann. Inference 11, 385-398.
6. Kiefer, J. (1974). General equivalence theory for optimum designs (approximate theory). Ann. Statist. 2, 849-879.
7. Kiefer, J. (1975). Construction and optimality of generalized Youden designs. A Survey of Statistical Design and Linear Models (J. N. Srivastava, ed.), 333-353, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
8. Kunert, J. (1985). Optimal repeated measurements designs for correlated observations and analysis by weighted least squares, Biometrika 72, 375-389.
9. Kunert, J. and Martin, R.J. (1985). On relations between the ϕ_a -criteria, and on the optimality of finite Williams II(a) designs, submitted for publication.
10. Marshall, A.W. and Olkin, I. (1979). Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications. Academic Press, New York.
11. Williams, R.M. (1952). Experimental designs for serially correlated observations, Biometrika 39, 151-167.

TECHNICAL REPORTS
Statistics Department
University of California, Berkeley

1. BREIMAN, L. and FREEDMAN, D. (Nov. 1981, revised Feb. 1982). How many variables should be entered in a regression equation? Jour. Amer. Statist. Assoc., March 1983, 78, No. 381, 131-136.
2. BRILLINGER, D. R. (Jan. 1982). Some contrasting examples of the time and frequency domain approaches to time series analysis. Time Series Methods in Hydrosciences, (A. H. El-Shaarawi and S. R. Esterby, eds.) Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1982, pp. 1-15.
3. DOKSUM, K. A. (Jan. 1982). On the performance of estimates in proportional hazard and log-linear models. Survival Analysis, (John Crowley and Richard A. Johnson, eds.) IMS Lecture Notes - Monograph Series, (Shanti S. Gupta, series ed.) 1982, 74-84.
4. BICKEL, P. J. and BREIMAN, L. (Feb. 1982). Sums of functions of nearest neighbor distances, moment bounds, limit theorems and a goodness of fit test. Ann. Prob., Feb. 1982, 11, No. 1, 185-214.
5. BRILLINGER, D. R. and TUKEY, J. W. (March 1982). Spectrum estimation and system identification relying on a Fourier transform. The Collected Works of J. W. Tukey, vol. 2, Wadsworth, 1985, 1001-1141.
6. BERAN, R. (May 1982). Jackknife approximation to bootstrap estimates. Ann. Statist., March 1984, 12 No. 1, 101-118.
7. BICKEL, P. J. and FREEDMAN, D. A. (June 1982). Bootstrapping regression models with many parameters. Lehmann Festschrift, (P. J. Bickel, K. Doksum and J. L. Hodges, Jr., eds.) Wadsworth Press, Belmont, 1983, 28-48.
8. BICKEL, P. J. and COLLINS, J. (March 1982). Minimizing Fisher information over mixtures of distributions. Sankhyā, 1983, 45, Series A, Pt. 1, 1-19.
9. BREIMAN, L. and FRIEDMAN, J. (July 1982). Estimating optimal transformations for multiple regression and correlation.
10. FREEDMAN, D. A. and PETERS, S. (July 1982, revised Aug. 1983). Bootstrapping a regression equation: some empirical results. JASA, 1984, 79, 97-106.
11. EATON, M. L. and FREEDMAN, D. A. (Sept. 1982). A remark on adjusting for covariates in multiple regression.
12. BICKEL, P. J. (April 1982). Minimax estimation of the mean of a mean of a normal distribution subject to doing well at a point. Recent Advances in Statistics, Academic Press, 1983.
14. FREEDMAN, D. A., ROTHENBERG, T. and SUTCH, R. (Oct. 1982). A review of a residential energy end use model.
15. BRILLINGER, D. and PREISLER, H. (Nov. 1982). Maximum likelihood estimation in a latent variable problem. Studies in Econometrics, Time Series, and Multivariate Statistics, (eds. S. Karlin, T. Amemiya, L. A. Goodman). Academic Press, New York, 1983, pp. 31-65.
16. BICKEL, P. J. (Nov. 1982). Robust regression based on infinitesimal neighborhoods. Ann. Statist., Dec. 1984, 12, 1349-1368.
17. DRAPER, D. C. (Feb. 1983). Rank-based robust analysis of linear models. I. Exposition and review.
18. DRAPER, D. C. (Feb 1983). Rank-based robust inference in regression models with several observations per cell.
19. FREEDMAN, D. A. and FIENBERG, S. (Feb. 1983, revised April 1983). Statistics and the scientific method, Comments on and reactions to Freedman, A rejoinder to Fienberg's comments. Springer New York 1985 Cohort Analysis in Social Research, (W. M. Mason and S. E. Fienberg, eds.).
20. FREEDMAN, D. A. and PETERS, S. C. (March 1983, revised Jan. 1984). Using the bootstrap to evaluate forecasting equations. J. of Forecasting. 1985, Vol. 4, 251-262.
21. FREEDMAN, D. A. and PETERS, S. C. (March 1983, revised Aug. 1983). Bootstrapping an econometric model: some empirical results. JBES, 1985, 2, 150-158.
22. FREEDMAN, D. A. (March 1983). Structural-equation models: a case study.
23. DAGGETT, R. S. and FREEDMAN, D. (April 1983, revised Sept. 1983). Econometrics and the law: a case study in the proof of antitrust damages. Proc. of the Berkeley Conference, in honor of Jerzy Neyman and Jack Kiefer. Vol I pp. 123-172. (L. Le Cam, R. Olshen eds.) Wadsworth, 1985.

24. DOKSUM, K. and YANDELL, B. (April 1983). Tests for exponentiality. Handbook of Statistics, (P. R. Krishnaiah and P. K. Sen, eds.) 4, 1984.
25. FREEDMAN, D. A. (May 1983). Comments on a paper by Markus.
26. FREEDMAN, D. (Oct. 1983, revised March 1984). On bootstrapping two-stage least-squares estimates in stationary linear models. Ann. Statist., 1984, 12, 827-842.
27. DOKSUM, K. A. (Dec. 1983). An extension of partial likelihood methods for proportional hazard models to general transformation models. Ann. Statist., 1987, 15, 325-345.
28. BICKEL, P. J., GOETZE, F. and VAN ZWET, W. R. (Jan. 1984). A simple analysis of third order efficiency of estimate Proc. of the Neyman-Kiefer Conference, (L. Le Cam, ed.) Wadsworth, 1985.
29. BICKEL, P. J. and FREEDMAN, D. A. Asymptotic normality and the bootstrap in stratified sampling. Ann. Statist. 12 470-482.
30. FREEDMAN, D. A. (Jan. 1984). The mean vs. the median: a case study in 4-R Act litigation. JBES, 1985 Vol 3 pp. 1-13.
31. STONE, C. J. (Feb. 1984). An asymptotically optimal window selection rule for kernel density estimates. Ann. Statist., Dec. 1984, 12, 1285-1297.
32. BREIMAN, L. (May 1984). Nail finders, edifices, and Oz.
33. STONE, C. J. (Oct. 1984). Additive regression and other nonparametric models. Ann. Statist., 1985, 13, 689-705.
34. STONE, C. J. (June 1984). An asymptotically optimal histogram selection rule. Proc. of the Berkeley Conf. in Honor of Jerzy Neyman and Jack Kiefer (L. Le Cam and R. A. Olshen, eds.), II, 513-520.
35. FREEDMAN, D. A. and NAVIDI, W. C. (Sept. 1984, revised Jan. 1985). Regression models for adjusting the 1980 Census. Statistical Science, Feb 1986, Vol. 1, No. 1, 3-39.
36. FREEDMAN, D. A. (Sept. 1984, revised Nov. 1984). De Finetti's theorem in continuous time.
37. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D. (Oct. 1984). An elementary proof of Stirling's formula. Amer. Math Monthly, Feb 1986, Vol. 93, No. 2, 123-125.
38. LE CAM, L. (Nov. 1984). Sur l'approximation de familles de mesures par des familles Gaussiennes. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, 1985, 21, 225-287.
39. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D. A. (Nov. 1984). A note on weak star uniformities.
40. BREIMAN, L. and IHAKA, R. (Dec. 1984). Nonlinear discriminant analysis via SCALING and ACE.
41. STONE, C. J. (Jan. 1985). The dimensionality reduction principle for generalized additive models.
42. LE CAM, L. (Jan. 1985). On the normal approximation for sums of independent variables.
43. BICKEL, P. J. and YAHAV, J. A. (1985). On estimating the number of unseen species: how many executions were there?
44. BRILLINGER, D. R. (1985). The natural variability of vital rates and associated statistics. Biometrics, to appear.
45. BRILLINGER, D. R. (1985). Fourier inference: some methods for the analysis of array and nonGaussian series data. Water Resources Bulletin, 1985, 21, 743-756.
46. BREIMAN, L. and STONE, C. J. (1985). Broad spectrum estimates and confidence intervals for tail quantiles.
47. DABROWSKA, D. M. and DOKSUM, K. A. (1985, revised March 1987). Partial likelihood in transformation models with censored data.
48. HAYCOCK, K. A. and BRILLINGER, D. R. (November 1985). LIBDRB: A subroutine library for elementary time series analysis.
49. BRILLINGER, D. R. (October 1985). Fitting cosines: some procedures and some physical examples. Joshi Festschrift, 1986. D. Reidel.
50. BRILLINGER, D. R. (November 1985). What do seismology and neurophysiology have in common? - Statistics! Comptes Rendus Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, January, 1986.
51. COX, D. D. and O'SULLIVAN, F. (October 1985). Analysis of penalized likelihood-type estimators with application to generalized smoothing in Sobolev Spaces.

52. O'SULLIVAN, F. (November 1985). A practical perspective on ill-posed inverse problems: A review with some new developments. To appear in Journal of Statistical Science.
53. LE CAM, L. and YANG, G. L. (November 1985, revised March 1987). On the preservation of local asymptotic normality under information loss.
54. BLACKWELL, D. (November 1985). Approximate normality of large products.
55. FREEDMAN, D. A. (June 1987). As others see us: A case study in path analysis. Journal of Educational Statistics. 12, 101-128.
56. LE CAM, L. and YANG, G. L. (January 1986). Replaced by No. 68.
57. LE CAM, L. (February 1986). On the Bernstein - von Mises theorem.
58. O'SULLIVAN, F. (January 1986). Estimation of Densities and Hazards by the Method of Penalized likelihood.
59. ALDOUS, D. and DIACONIS, P. (February 1986). Strong Uniform Times and Finite Random Walks.
60. ALDOUS, D. (March 1986). On the Markov Chain simulation Method for Uniform Combinatorial Distributions and Simulated Annealing.
61. CHENG, C-S. (April 1986). An Optimization Problem with Applications to Optimal Design Theory.
62. CHENG, C-S., MAJUMDAR, D., STUFKEN, J. & TURE, T. E. (May 1986, revised Jan 1987). Optimal step type design for comparing test treatments with a control.
63. CHENG, C-S. (May 1986, revised Jan. 1987). An Application of the Kiefer-Wolfowitz Equivalence Theorem.
64. O'SULLIVAN, F. (May 1986). Nonparametric Estimation in the Cox Proportional Hazards Model.
65. ALDOUS, D. (JUNE 1986). Finite-Time Implications of Relaxation Times for Stochastically Monotone Processes.
66. PITMAN, J. (JULY 1986, revised November 1986). Stationary Excursions.
67. DABROWSKA, D. and DOKSUM, K. (July 1986, revised November 1986). Estimates and confidence intervals for median and mean life in the proportional hazard model with censored data.
68. LE CAM, L. and YANG, G.L. (July 1986). Distinguished Statistics, Loss of information and a theorem of Robert B. Davies (Fourth edition).
69. STONE, C.J. (July 1986). Asymptotic properties of logspline density estimation.
71. BICKEL, P.J. and YAHAV, J.A. (July 1986). Richardson Extrapolation and the Bootstrap.
72. LEHMANN, E.L. (July 1986). Statistics - an overview.
73. STONE, C.J. (August 1986). A nonparametric framework for statistical modelling.
74. BIANE, PH. and YOR, M. (August 1986). A relation between Lévy's stochastic area formula, Legendre polynomial, and some continued fractions of Gauss.
75. LEHMANN, E.L. (August 1986, revised July 1987). Comparing Location Experiments.
76. O'SULLIVAN, F. (September 1986). Relative risk estimation.
77. O'SULLIVAN, F. (September 1986). Deconvolution of episodic hormone data.
78. PITMAN, J. & YOR, M. (September 1987). Further asymptotic laws of planar Brownian motion.
79. FREEDMAN, D.A. & ZEISEL, H. (November 1986). From mouse to man: The quantitative assessment of cancer risks. To appear in Statistical Science.
80. BRILLINGER, D.R. (October 1986). Maximum likelihood analysis of spike trains of interacting nerve cells.
81. DABROWSKA, D.M. (November 1986). Nonparametric regression with censored survival time data.
82. DOKSUM, K.J. and LO, A.Y. (November 1986). Consistent and robust Bayes Procedures for Location based on Partial Information.
83. DABROWSKA, D.M., DOKSUM, K.A. and MIURA, R. (November 1986). Rank estimates in a class of semiparametric two-sample models.

84. BRILLINGER, D. (December 1986). Some statistical methods for random process data from seismology and neurophysiology.
85. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D. (December 1986). A dozen de Finetti-style results in search of a theory. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, 1987, 23, 397-423.
86. DABROWSKA, D.M. (January 1987). Uniform consistency of nearest neighbour and kernel conditional Kaplan - Meier estimates.
87. FREEDMAN, D.A., NAVIDI, W. and PETERS, S.C. (February 1987). On the impact of variable selection in fitting regression equations.
88. ALDOUS, D. (February 1987, revised April 1987). Hashing with linear probing, under non-uniform probabilities.
89. DABROWSKA, D.M. and DOKSUM, K.A. (March 1987, revised January 1988). Estimating and testing in a two sample generalized odds rate model.
90. DABROWSKA, D.M. (March 1987). Rank tests for matched pair experiments with censored data.
91. DIACONIS, P and FREEDMAN, D.A. (April 1988). Conditional limit theorems for exponential families and finite versions of de Finetti's theorem. To appear in the *Journal of Applied Probability*.
92. DABROWSKA, D.M. (April 1987, revised September 1987). Kaplan-Meier estimate on the plane.
- 92a. ALDOUS, D. (April 1987). The Harmonic mean formula for probabilities of Unions: Applications to sparse random graphs.
93. DABROWSKA, D.M. (June 1987, revised Feb 1988). Nonparametric quantile regression with censored data.
94. DONOHO, D.L. & STARK, P.B. (June 1987). Uncertainty principles and signal recovery.
95. RIZZARDI, F. (Aug 1987). Two-Sample t-tests where one population SD is known.
96. BRILLINGER, D.R. (June 1987). Some examples of the statistical analysis of seismological data. To appear in *Proceedings, Centennial Anniversary Symposium, Seismographic Stations, University of California, Berkeley*.
97. FREEDMAN, D.A. and NAVIDI, W. (June 1987). On the multi-stage model for carcinogenesis. To appear in *Environmental Health Perspectives*.
98. O'SULLIVAN, F. and WONG, T. (June 1987). Determining a function diffusion coefficient in the heat equation.
99. O'SULLIVAN, F. (June 1987). Constrained non-linear regularization with application to some system identification problems.
100. LE CAM, L. (July 1987, revised Nov 1987). On the standard asymptotic confidence ellipsoids of Wald.
101. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (July 1987). Pathologies of some minimum distance estimators.
102. BRILLINGER, D.R., DOWNING, K.H. and GLAESER, R.M. (July 1987). Some statistical aspects of low-dose electron imaging of crystals.
103. LE CAM, L. (August 1987). Harald Cramér and sums of independent random variables.
104. DONOHO, A.W., DONOHO, D.L. and GASKO, M. (August 1987). Macspin: Dynamic graphics on a desktop computer.
105. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (August 1987). On minimax estimation of linear functionals.
106. DABROWSKA, D.M. (August 1987). Kaplan-Meier estimate on the plane: weak convergence, LIL and the bootstrap.
107. CHENG, C-S. (August 1987). Some orthogonal main-effect plans for asymmetrical factorials.
108. CHENG, C-S. and JACROUX, M. (August 1987). On the construction of trend-free run orders of two-level factorial designs.
109. KLASS, M.J. (August 1987). Maximizing $E \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k^+ / ES_n^+$: A prophet inequality for sums of I.I.D. mean zero variates.
110. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (August 1987). The "automatic" robustness of minimum distance functionals.
111. BICKEL, P.J. and GHOSH, J.K. (August 1987, revised June 1988). A decomposition for the likelihood ratio statistic and the Bartlett correction — a Bayesian argument.
112. BURDZY, K., PITMAN, J.W. and YOR, M. (September 1987). Some asymptotic laws for crossings and excursions.

113. ADHIKARI, A. and PITMAN, J. (September 1987). The shortest planar arc of width 1.
114. RITOV, Y. (September 1987). Estimation in a linear regression model with censored data.
115. BICKEL, P.J. and RITOV, Y. (September 1987). Large sample theory of estimation in biased sampling regression models I.
116. RITOV, Y. and BICKEL, P.J. (September 1987). Unachievable information bounds in non and semiparametric models.
117. RITOV, Y. (October 1987). On the convergence of a maximal correlation algorithm with alternating projections.
118. ALDOUS, D.J. (October 1987). Meeting times for independent Markov chains.
119. HESSE, C.H. (October 1987). An asymptotic expansion for the mean of the passage-time distribution of integrated Brownian Motion.
120. DONOHO, D. and LIU, R. (October 1987, revised March 1988). Geometrizing rates of convergence, II.
121. BRILLINGER, D.R. (October 1987). Estimating the chances of large earthquakes by radiocarbon dating and statistical modelling. To appear in *Statistics a Guide to the Unknown*.
122. ALDOUS, D., FLANNERY, B. and PALACIOS, J.L. (November 1987). Two applications of urn processes: The fringe analysis of search trees and the simulation of quasi-stationary distributions of Markov chains.
123. DONOHO, D.L. and MACGIBBON, B. (November 1987). Minimax risk for hyperrectangles.
124. ALDOUS, D. (November 1987). Stopping times and tightness II.
125. HESSE, C.H. (November 1987). The present state of a stochastic model for sedimentation.
126. DALANG, R.C. (December 1987). Optimal stopping of two-parameter processes on hyperfinite probability spaces.
127. Same as No. 133.
128. DONOHO, D. and GASKO, M. (December 1987). Multivariate generalizations of the median and trimmed mean II.
129. SMITH, D.L. (December 1987). Exponential bounds in Vapnik-Červonenkis classes of index 1.
130. STONE, C.J. (November 1987). Uniform error bounds involving logspline models.
131. Same as No. 140
132. HESSE, C.H. (December 1987). A Bahadur - Type representation for empirical quantiles of a large class of stationary, possibly infinite - variance, linear processes
133. DONOHO, D.L. and GASKO, M. (December 1987). Multivariate generalizations of the median and trimmed mean, I.
134. DUBINS, L.E. and SCHWARZ, G. (December 1987). A sharp inequality for martingales and stopping-times.
135. FREEDMAN, D.A. and NAVIDI, W. (December 1987). On the risk of lung cancer for ex-smokers.
136. LE CAM, L. (January 1988). On some stochastic models of the effects of radiation on cell survival.
137. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D.A. (April 1988). On the uniform consistency of Bayes estimates for multinomial probabilities.
- 137a. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (1987). Geometrizing rates of convergence, I.
138. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (January 1988). Geometrizing rates of convergence, III.
139. BERAN, R. (January 1988). Refining simultaneous confidence sets.
140. HESSE, C.H. (December 1987). Numerical and statistical aspects of neural networks.
141. BRILLINGER, D.R. (January 1988). Two reports on trend analysis: a) An Elementary Trend Analysis of Rio Negro Levels at Manaus, 1903-1985 b) Consistent Detection of a Monotonic Trend Superposed on a Stationary Time Series
142. DONOHO, D.L. (Jan. 1985, revised Jan. 1988). One-sided inference about functionals of a density.
143. DALANG, R.C. (February 1988). Randomization in the two-armed bandit problem.
144. DABROWSKA, D.M., DOKSUM, K.A. and SONG, J.K. (February 1988). Graphical comparisons of cumulative hazards for two populations.

145. ALDOUS, D.J. (February 1988). Lower bounds for covering times for reversible Markov Chains and random walks on graphs.
146. BICKEL, P.J. and RITOV, Y. (February 1988). Estimating integrated squared density derivatives.
147. STARK, P.B. (March 1988). Strict bounds and applications.
148. DONOHO, D.L. and STARK, P.B. (March 1988). Rearrangements and smoothing.
149. NOLAN, D. (March 1988). Asymptotics for a multivariate location estimator.
150. SEILLIER, F. (March 1988). Sequential probability forecasts and the probability integral transform.
151. NOLAN, D. (March 1988). Limit theorems for a random convex set.
152. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D.A. (April 1988). On a theorem of Kuchler and Lauritzen.
153. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D.A. (April 1988). On the problem of types.
154. DOKSUM, K.A. (May 1988). On the correspondence between models in binary regression analysis and survival analysis.
155. LEHMANN, E.L. (May 1988). Jerzy Neyman, 1894-1981.
156. ALDOUS, D.J. (May 1988). Stein's method in a two-dimensional coverage problem.
157. FAN, J. (June 1988). On the optimal rates of convergence for nonparametric deconvolution problem.
158. DABROWSKA, D. (June 1988). Signed-rank tests for censored matched pairs.
159. BERAN, R.J. and MILLAR, P.W. (June 1988). Multivariate symmetry models.
160. BERAN, R.J. and MILLAR, P.W. (June 1988). Tests of fit for logistic models.
161. BREIMAN, L. and PETERS, S. (June 1988). Comparing automatic bivariate smoothness (A public service enterprise).

Copies of these Reports plus the most recent additions to the Technical Report series are available from the Statistics Department technical typist in room 379 Evans Hall or may be requested by mail from:

Department of Statistics
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Cost: \$1 per copy.