

CASE NO.

456

NORTHERN DISTRICT

PORTION of RANCHO de NAPA GRANT

MARGARET McENERNEY

CLAIMANT

LAND CASE 456 ND pgs. 20

FEB 6 1963

546
456
No. 456

U. S. District Court,
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

In re petition of
Margaret McErney
vs.

for Confirmation of
portion of Ranch de Napa
IN ADMIRALTY.

PAPERS IN THE CASE.

456 ND
PAGE 1

No. 456. (p 546)

456 ND
PAGE 2

In the United States District Court in and for the District of California.

To the Hon. OGDEN HOFFMAN,
Judge of said Court:

Now comes Maria and Mc Farren and presents this petition and claim for certain lands situate in Napa County, California, the same being a portion of that tract of land commonly known as the "Rancho de Napa," which petition and claim is made by authority of an Act of Congress, approved June 20th, 1884, entitled "An Act authorizing claimants to the Rancho de Napa, in Napa County, California, to prove up their title," of which Act a true copy is hereunto annexed marked Exhibit "A," and made a part of this petition.

That in accordance with said Act your petitioner respectfully represents:

That on the 21st day of September, A. D. 1838, Juan B. Alvarado, then Political Chief of Upper California, granted to one Salvador Vallejo a tract of land known as "Napa," which tract of land is situate in the County of Napa, State of California, and is the same tract of land named in the said Act of Congress as the "Rancho de Napa."

That said grant was presented to the Departmental Assembly of Upper California, and was by that body duly and legally approved on the 23rd day of September, 1838.

That on the 8th of February, 1844, juridical possession of the lands granted as aforesaid was delivered to the said Salvador Vallejo.

That the tract of land thus granted to said Vallejo was never presented as an entirety to the Board of Commissioners created by Act of Congress of date March 3rd, 1851, for confirmation, although portions of said land were presented to said Board in accordance with the requirements of said last named Act, and the portions and parcels thereof thus presented were finally confirmed thereunder.

That the exterior boundaries of said Rancho were finally surveyed in October, 1858, by C. C. Tracey, U. S. Deputy Surveyor, and the survey thereof finally approved by the proper authorities. That said Rancho was by said survey segregated from the public domain, and the boundaries

thereof thus established, conformed to the boundaries of said Rancho as defined in the original title of Salvador Vallejo.

That the boundaries thus established have ever since been recognized by all parties and the United States as the true boundaries of said Rancho.

That the boundaries of said Rancho as established by said survey are as follows:

"Commencing at a large, dead oak standing on the right bank of the Arroyo Napa, established by the Mexican authorities at the time of giving juridical possession, as one of the corners of said Rancho, thence (variation 16° E.) S. 22½° W. along the division line between the Rancho de Napa and the Rancho Entre Napa, 302.61 chains to the center of Carneros Creek, the center of said Creek at said point being the common corner of said Rancho de Napa and the Rancho Entre Napa; thence up the center of said Creek, following its meanderings, to a stake marked "N. No. 3," in a mound of stones, which stake is set where the old trail from Napa to Sonoma crossed said creek at the upper crossing; thence N. 14½° E. 427 chains to the S. W. corner of the Caymus Rancho; thence N. 14½° E. 140 chains to the center of Napa River; thence down the center of Napa River, following its meanderings, to the junction of Napa River and Napa Creek; thence up Napa Creek to the place of beginning.

That your petitioner is the claimant and owner of the following described portion of said Rancho:

All that certain piece of land or lot of land situated in the County of Napa, State of California and being a portion of Lot No 43 of the Subdivision of Salvador and more particularly described as Block No 31 of Landings addition to the Town of Napa City according to the map of Napa City and additions now on deposit in the office of the County Recorder of Napa County.

compiled and drawn by W. A. Linn in the year 1869,
State of California, and con-

Ranning six acres of land
more or less.

456 ND

PAGE 4

That said lands are included within the exterior boundaries of said "Rancho de Napa."

That your petitioner deraigns title to said land last above described through the original Mexican grantee, the said Salvador Vallejo.

That "Exhibit B" hereto annexed and made a part of this petition, exhibits the deraignment of title from said Salvador Vallejo to petitioner.

That the deeds set forth in said deraignment of title are of record in the Recorder's office, of said Napa County, and are sufficient in form and legal effect to, and do vest in the petitioner the title of said Vallejo to that portion of said Rancho now in petitioner's possession.

That neither the claim of petitioner, nor that of his predecessors in title, to that portion of said Rancho now in his possession has ever been passed upon by proper authority.

That the claim of the original grantee to said lands was good and valid under the Mexican

laws relating to such cases.

That there is not now, nor has there ever been, any valid adverse claim to said land which petitioner claims or any part thereof existing under the pre-emption, homestead or other laws of the United States.

That there is not now, nor has there ever been any person or persons having or holding any portion of said lands under valid claims under the pre-emption, or homestead, or other laws of the United States.

That there are no persons entitled to receive releases from this claimant to any portion of said land in his possession, as provided by said Act of June 20th, 1884.

That petitioner is in the sole and exclusive possession of the lands to which he seeks for a confirmation of his title, and he and his predecessors in title have been in such sole and exclusive possession since the year 1844.

Wherefore your petitioner prays for a decree of this Honorable Court, confirming the claim of petitioner to the lands herein petitioned for, and for such other general and special relief as may be meet in the premises. And your petitioner will ever pray.

*Estes & Wilson
Spencer & Herring*
Attorneys for Petitioner.

EXHIBIT "A."

An Act authorizing claimants to the Rancho de Napa, in Napa County, California, to prove up their title.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the claimants to the lands situated in Napa County, California, known as the Rancho de Napa, who deraign title through the original Mexican grantee of said Rancho, and whose claims or those of their predecessors in title have not been hitherto passed upon by proper authority, are hereby permitted and authorized to present their claim to said lands in the District Court of the United States, for the district of California, within one year next after the passage of this Act, and not afterward, for examination; and upon the hearing of said case if it shall appear to said Court that the claim of the original grantee was good and valid under Mexican laws relating to such cases, and that the claimants are entitled to have such confirmation, the said Court shall by decree confirm said claim: *Provided*, that no lands shall be confirmed to said claimants to which there are any valid claims existing under the pre-emption, homestead, or other laws of the United States, at the date of the passage of this Act; nor shall any decree of confirmation affect any valid adverse right of any other person or persons, or give to the confirmees, or any of them, any claim upon the United States for compensation for any land such confirmees may loose by pre-emption, homestead, or other claims or rights as aforesaid: *Provided further*, that said claimants before filing their claim shall execute releases to any person who may have or hold any portion of said lands under valid claims under the pre-emption, homestead, or other laws of the United States, at the date of the passage of this Act to the portions of said lands so held respectively; and before rendering a decree of confirmation the said Court shall ascertain that said releases have been duly executed.

SECTION 2. That the United States and any party in interest are hereby granted the right of appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States, within six months next after any decree shall be entered, in the manner allowed in other cases of appeal; and the said Court in the examination of the claims presented by any person under this Act shall be governed, so far as applicable, by the provisions of the Act passed March 3rd, A. D. 1851, entitled "An Act to ascertain and settle private land-claims in the State of California."

SECTION 3. That the United States Surveyor General for California is hereby directed, upon the filing in his office by said claimants of a certified copy of a final decree of confirmation under the provisions of this Act, to cause said claim to be surveyed as other claims of like nature are now surveyed under existing laws and, upon the approval of said survey, by the proper authority a patent shall issue to said claimants in the usual form.

Approved June 20th, 1884.

Don Salvador Vallejo and Maria Luz
Carillo Vallejo his wife

to

John E. Brown

456 ND

Deed.

PAGE 7 Dated.

August 8th 1850

Con's \$800⁰⁰

Grants the Lots 43, 47, and 48 of the
Calle de Salvador situated in Napa
County State of California

Recorded in Liber A of Deeds page 87,
records of Napa County State of California
on August 9th 1850.

John E. Brown

to

James M. Hartman

Deed.

Dated

January 17th 1853

Recorded in Liber B of Deeds page 308
records of Napa County on January 27th
1853

James W. Hartin and Sarah Jane
Hartin, his wife

to

Thomas Earl

456 ND

PAGE 8

Deed.

Dated

March 22nd, 1853

Recorded in Section D of Deeds page
408 records of Napa County, on October
4th, 1853.

Thomas Earl,

to

John Lawley

Deed.

Dated

January 5th, 1858

Recorded in Section D page 406 records of
Napa County on January 5th 1858.

John Sanders, D. Mc Donald and D. R.
Northing, by his attorney in fact, D. Mc
Donald.

456 ND
PAGE 9

To

Margaret Mc Emerney.

Deed.

Dated.

February 3 1872.

Recorded in Liber C of Deeds page 113
records of Napa County on February
1st 1872.

456

U. S. District Court
in and for the
District of California

In the Matter of the
application of Margaret
Mc Emerney for a
conjunction to her
of a portion of the
Napa Ranchos.

Petition

Filed June 19th 1885

Southeast Hoffman
Clerk

P. P. D. Grimwood
Deputy Clerk

456 ND
PAGE 10

1 In the District Court of the United
2 States, District of California.

3
4 In the Matter of the Petition

5 Margaret McOnerney
6 for confirmation of a portion
7 of Rancho de Napa

8
9 Now comes the United States
10 by S. G. Hilborn its attorney and
11 answers the petition of Margaret
12 Mc Onerney on file herein and
13 for answer thereto denies
14 generally and specifically each
15 and every allegation in said
16 petition contained.

17 S. G. Hilborn
18 United States Attorney

No. 456.

United States District Court

DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Matter of the Petition
of Margaret McBreney
Plaintiff

for confirmation of portion
of Rancho de Napa
Defendant

Answer and Opposition
of United States

Filed July 7th, 1885
Richard Stoffmuller Clark
C. P. Dummwood
Deputy Clerk

456 ND

PAGE 12

S. G. HILBORN,
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

For the District of California.

Attorney for

Service of within.....
thereof admitted, this..... day of.....
and receipt of a copy
188.....

In the District Court of the United States
For the District of California

456 ND
PAGE 13

In the Matter of the Petition of Margarville Emery,
for confirmation of title to land in Napa County, California, part of the Rancho de Napa under
Act of Congress passed June 20th 1884 } N° 456

To the Honorable Ogden Hoffman
Judge of said District Court

Your petitioner Otto H Frank
by his Attorneys E J H Moore respectfully asks
leave of Court to file his intervention herein on the
following grounds to wit;

That said Otto H Frank is a confinee
by a final decree of this Court and is now the owner
in fee simple of the same land whereof said McDer-
emy asks for confirmation in his favor and such con-
firmation would interfere with this petitioner and
his rights.

Otto H Frank
by E J H Moore
his Attorney

No. 456

U. S. District Court
District of California

On the Matter of the
Petition of Margt McEnerney

Petition of Otto & Frank
for leave to intervene.

Filed July 24 1885

Southeast Hoffman

Clerk

P. D. Grimwood

Deputy Clerk

456 ND

PAGE 14

E. J. Moore
Atty's for Intervenors

1 In the District Court of the
2 United States.

3 District of California

4
5 In the matter of the Petition
6 of Margaret McEneaney }
7 for Confirmation of title
8 to portion of Rancho de
9 Napa in Napa County
10 California under the
11 Act of Congress passed
12 June 20th 1884 } No. 456

13 Petition of Intervenor Otto H.
14 Frank.

15 Now Comes Otto H Frank
16 by leave of Court first obtained
17 and for petition of intervention
18 herein alleges.

19 I

20 That he is the owner in fee
21 of the land and all thereof
22 which the said petitioner Margaret
23 McEneaney asks to have confirmed
24 to her the same being within the
25 boundaries of the Rancho de Napa
26 in Napa County of this State.

PAGE

2

That said Rancho was formerly
 2 granted to Don Salvador Vallejo
 3 by the Mexican Government
 4 September 21st 1838,

That on December 20th 1851
 6 Said Salvador Vallejo sold and
 7 Conveyed by Deed to one Herman
 8 "Wohler" all his right title
 9 and interest in and to all the
 10 land belonging to said Rancho
 11 de Napa and all lands apper-
 12 taining to the Rancho of that name
 13 granted to the said Vallejo by
 14 the Mexican Government which
 15 had not been before that date
 16 to wit December 20th 1851 sold
 17 and Conveyed by him Vallejo
 18 by Deeds which have been before
 19 that day duly recorded in the
 20 County Recorders Office of said
 21 Napa County.

That said Deed
 22 from said Vallejo to said Wohler
 23 was the record on said December
 24 20th 1851 duly recorded in said
 25 County Recorders Office in Book
 26 B of Deeds at page 109.

That thereafter on March 20th

PAGE

3

456 ND

PAGE 17

1 1852 said Herman Wohler sold
2 and conveyed by deed to his sister
3 "venor" all his right title and
4 interest in and to all the lands
5 belonging to the Ranchos de Napa
6 and all lands appertaining to the
7 Ranchos of that name granted
8 to Don Salvador Vallejo by the
9 Mexican Government which
10 had not been before that day
11 to wit March 20th 1852 conveyed
12 by said Salvador Vallejo or by the
13 said Herman Wohler by Deeds which
14 had been before that day duly
15 recorded in the office of the County
16 Recorder of Napa County" which
17 said Deed from said Wohler was
18 afterwards on the 22nd day of
19 April 1852 duly recorded in the
20 Office of the said County Recorder
21 of said Napa County in Book B. of
22 Deeds at page 160.

23 That on June 12th 1858 the
24 Honorable the U.S. District
25 Court of California confirmed
26 to said Otto H. Frank the land
27 described by the same description
28 contained in said last named

PAGE

4

1 Deed, and on appeal afterward
2 taken by the United States from
3 the aforesaid Decree of Confirmation,
4 said appeal was duly dismissed,
5 and said Decree is now final.

456 ND
PAGE 18

6 That said Confirmation accrued
7 to the benefit of this Intervenor who
8 by means thereof under the aforesaid
9 Deed from said Herman Stahler
10 became and he now is the owner
11 in fee, and entitled to the posses-
12 sion of said lands.

13 That the lands for which
14 said Petitioner Margaret M^c
15 Everney herein asks for Confir-
16 mation are a part of said lands
17 so confirmed to this Intervenor.

18 This Intervenor further plead-
19 ing herein denies all and singular
20 the Allegations contained in
21 said petition filed herein by
22 said petitioner after the following
23 words on page two line seventeen
24 thereof to wit "that your petitioner
25 is the claimant and owner of
26 the following described portion
27 of said Ranchs" &c excepting that
28 he Frank admits to be true that

PAGE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Don't
see

25
26
27
28

1 portion of said petition which
2 alleges as follows viz.

PAGE 3
5 That the claim of the original
4 grantee was good and valid
5 under the Mexican law relating
6 to such cases.

456 ND

19

PAGE

7 This intervenor therefore prays
8 that the aforesaid petition by
9 said Margaret M C Eremley
10 may be dismissed and that
11 her claim for Confirmation
12 be disallowed,

E J & J H Moore
Atty for Intervenor OW Frank



PAGE
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

No 456
In the U.S. District Court
District of
California

In the matter of
the Petition
of Margarett Eueney
Intervention by
Otto H. Frank

Service by copy of within
intervention this 28 August
1885 admitted

Spencer H. Henning
Atty for Margaret Eueney

Fresno 16 1885

Douglas H. Johnson
456 ND
Court
PAGE 20

E. J. & J. Hellion
atty for Intervenor
a 14 Franklin