



---

## Record of the Assembly

*University of California Academic Senate*

Offices of the Secretaries: (North) 320 Stephens Hall, Berkeley  
(South) 2206 Administration Building, Los Angeles

Vol. VII, No. 4  
June 15, 1970

---

— i —

## Minutes of the Assembly of the Academic Senate

### Meeting

The meeting of the Assembly of the Academic Senate, originally scheduled for Monday, May 11, 1970, was held instead on Monday, June 15, at 10:00 a.m. in 142U Toland Hall, University of California Hospital, San Francisco Campus, pursuant to call, with a luncheon recess at noon. Present: Professors R. A. Cockrell, Secretary (North); M. D. Intriligator, Secretary (South); and 43 voting members of the Assembly as shown in the Attendance Record on pages ix and x of these minutes. Also present by invitation: Vice Presidents A. E. Taylor, J. W. Oswald, and Vice President designate, C. O. McCorkle; and Chancellor P. R. Lee, of the San Francisco Campus. Professor F. A. Sooy, Chairman, presided.

Chancellor Lee welcomed members of the Assembly and guests to the San Francisco campus.

### Minutes

The minutes of the meeting of March 16, 1970, were approved with the following corrections: (1) In the minute *Irvine Division*, the last line of the second full paragraph on page vi should read: "... By-Law 12 reinforces the jurisdiction of the Assembly over Divisional membership"; and in the last line of that minute, the word "memorial" should be substituted for "mail ballot." (2) The heading of the second minute on page vi should read "*Board of Admissions and Relations with Schools.*"

### Announcements by the President

President Hitch said at the outset that he hoped that action on the report of the Zinner Committee might be taken only after thorough and judicious debate, and that this kind of deliberation would be difficult under present circumstances. He praised the members of the Committee as extraordinarily conscientious and then mentioned six points of interest about the report of the Committee and on the Livermore and Los Alamos Laboratories in general.

— ii —

1. There are some remarkable nonmilitary projects of great scientific interest at both laboratories, e.g., the linear proton accelerator, projects in biomedicine, and peaceful uses of nuclear explosives.

2. There is a long history of association between the laboratories and the University involving great faculty members. This tradition and association is still highly regarded by the Federal government and by University employees at the laboratories. The President noted that the University/Laboratory relationship was not questioned when the laboratories were founded, but only after the negative effects of an unpopular and terrible war had changed attitudes. It seemed to him that a *non sequitur* was involved in this discrepancy.
3. There is nothing “political” in a public university helping to carry out a public policy democratically determined by representative legislatures and elected executives. The University supports, for example, cleansing the environment, exploring space, and extending civil rights, and the preservation of the nuclear deterrent is as settled a policy of our society as any of these. Mr. Hitch saw as political and inappropriate participation by the University as an institution in the processes by which a policy is determined, i.e., trying to change a policy or prevent it from being changed.
4. The laboratories do not interfere in any way with the teaching and research functions of the University. On the contrary, the laboratories have very few effects at all on faculty and students, and those few are beneficial: special research opportunities and the spending throughout the University of monies from the Nuclear Science Fund.
5. Any alternative management arrangement would diminish the attractiveness of the laboratories for the highest quality personnel, threaten their independence in the conduct of research, and generally reduce their efficiency and productivity.
6. Although skeptical about some of the recommendations of the Committee, the President suggested an exploration of the feasibility of all of them. Mr. Hitch criticized the recommendation that campuses and laboratories be brought closer together, saying that this would break down the separateness which currently keeps classified work off the campuses, where it is highly objectionable.

President Hitch then turned to a consideration of the present serious situation that the University is in vis a vis the public and the State government. The reasons behind this situation are many and complex, and some of them may be largely beyond our power to control, but there are at the same time things we can do to help ourselves. We must come to agreement about those things and do them.

Although there are many factors involved, public and legislative unhappiness is becoming focused on the faculty, partly over alleged faculty complicity in student disruptions, but mainly over the issue of faculty workload—the belief that the faculty is neglecting what the public believes is its most important function, teaching. This concern prompted the chairman of the Assembly Ways and Means Committee to hold up the whole University budget until the President supplied answers to a wide range of questions on student discipline and faculty workload. The facts relating to the latter are disturbing.

The President outlined statistics which showed that both the amount and proportion of faculty effort for undergraduate students has dropped considerably since 1960. Over-all, there has been a net drop of four hours per week on course and tutorial activities for *all* students, during a period when student/faculty ratios have risen. These trends are difficult to explain and raise serious questions about educational policy. Mr. Hitch said that we must develop much more convincing justifications, reverse the trends, or bear the consequences—which could be severe.

Added to these concerns are the very serious questions raised by the post-Cambodian “reconstitution.” Fears about politicization, improper conduct of courses, and abandonment of academic standards led directly to the budget actions eliminating the 5% faculty salary increase and support funds for the Academic Senate. The President is pessimistic about restoring these cuts, particularly in light of an additional request

by the Ways and Means chairman for reports from all campuses on the effects of “reconstitution” before the

Conference Committee takes final action on the University budget.

The President concluded by warning that unless the faculty realizes and heeds the extent and intensity of public displeasure with the University, severe damage could result—through drastic inadequacy of support, through loss of personnel, and through loss of autonomy. Elected representatives and executives will not allow the University to be operated in ways which are excessively at variance with the general public will.

President Hitch was very concerned that the stance taken by some faculty members and adopted in some Divisional resolutions may tend to drive persons away from the moderate Center, with most faculty and students heading Left and most of the public heading Right. He called both Left and Right dangerous and urged the faculty to act with moderation, to avoid actions which polarize and antagonize. We shall achieve nothing, said Mr. Hitch, except further retrogression by noncooperation and defiance.

Professor Sooy reported briefly concerning a meeting in Sacramento on June 8 at which members of the faculty and administration met with legislators. He supported President Hitch's opinion that it appeared unlikely that the funds for faculty salary raises and for the Academic Senate, cut from the 1970-71 budget, would be restored this year. A discussion followed concerning the University's position with the public. It was pointed out that public discontent, formerly with the students and administrators, now centers on the faculty. This public discontent, especially of alleged not meeting of classes, political restructuring of classes, and indoctrination of students, is wide-spread, and unless public confidence is restored there is risk of serious damage to the University. The need for restoring public confidence via responsible action by the faculty was discussed and several suggestions were considered, among them the development of a mechanism for faculty self-discipline, more effective use of the mail ballot on matters of importance, and avoidance of emotional resolutions at town meetings. Among those who took part in the discussion were Professors Andron, Jennings, Rogger, Ridley, Templeton, Olmsted, Chew, President Hitch, Vice President Oswald, and Chancellor Lee.

## **University Research at Los Alamos and Livermore**

Professor P. E. Zinner, Chairman of the Special Committee, presented the Report appearing on pages 3-31 of the Notice of Meeting. He called attention to the majority and minority opinions and presented their recommendations for the consideration of the Assembly.

Professor Featherstone stated that the San Francisco Division had taken action on the Report. He moved, on behalf of the Division, that the Assembly submit to a mail ballot early in the Fall the question of whether the faculty would approve the present arrangement, and if the answer were "No", whether they would favor the majority report as presented by Professor Zinner and five members of the Committee or the minority report by Professor Wetherill. His motion was seconded.

Professor Olmsted reported that the Davis Division had recommended that the Division and the Assembly postpone the vote on the Report until Fall. Professor W. R. Frazier, on behalf of Professor G. Jackson, Chairman of the San Diego Division, reported that the Division had voted that the Regents sever relations with the laboratories. Professor Powell stated that Berkeley had asked its representatives to seek recommendations from each of the Divisions regarding relations between the University and the research laboratories; and that it was the sense of the

— iv —

Division that the University should terminate its operation of the laboratories at the expiration of the present contracts. Professors Kaun and Gelbaum reported, respectively, that the Santa Cruz and Irvine Divisions had also endorsed the minority report. The Los Angeles, Santa Barbara, and Riverside Divisions had not discussed the Report.

Professor Schachman presented the following substitute motion:

RESOLVED: Whereas openness is the essence of a university and appropriate university research activities must be internally controlled and interact constructively with its teaching functions; and whereas the work done at the Livermore and Los Alamos laboratories necessarily is subject to security classification, their activities are under external control, and the laboratories are restricted to visitors;

The Assembly of the Academic Senate declares the relations between these laboratories and the University antithetical to the purposes of a university dedicated to free exchange of knowledge and recommends that the University terminate its operation of these laboratories upon the expiration of the present contracts on September 30, 1972.

His motion was seconded. A debate followed concerning both the main motion and the substitute motion in which Professors Andron, Gelbaum, Walters, Knox, Kaun, Frazier, Powell, Jennings, Rogger, and A. C. Helmholtz participated. Thereafter, the motion to substitute was put to vote and lost.

Discussion was resumed on the Featherstone motion. A motion to terminate debate was put to vote but failed to carry. Professor Imbrie moved, as an amendment, that the ballot be worded so as to determine whether the faculty preferred to sever relationship with the laboratories; and if a relationship is to be maintained, whether they would prefer to retain the status quo or to adopt the majority recommendations, and the motion was seconded. When put to vote, as a sense motion, the wording proposed by Professor Imbrie was approved. A motion by Professor Templeton that a third choice of some other modification be offered was seconded but failed to carry.

Professor Allen moved, as a further amendment, that instead of a mail ballot, this matter be a Memorial to The Regents, which would require discussion by the various Divisions with any divergent recommendations concerning the memorial to be forwarded to the Assembly for reconciliation, thereafter to be submitted to a mail ballot. His motion to amend was seconded and passed. Finally, the motion as thus amended was put to vote and carried.

[Secretary's note: Members of the Academic Senate are asked to preserve this copy of the *Record of the Assembly* for reference to the Report of the Special Committee on University Research at Livermore and Los Alamos (pages 3-31) in the Divisional discussions of the proposed Memorial to The Regents.]

## **Officers of the Assembly**

Vice Chairman Mueller being in the Chair, Professor Sooy presented Part I of the Report of the Academic Council (page 32). By unanimous consent the nominees were elected as follows:

- A. *Vice Chairman of the Assembly, 1970-71*: P. E. Zinner (Davis).
- B. *Chairman, Committee on Committees, 1970-71*: A. F. Kip (Berkeley).

Professor Sooy reported that Professor K. N. Trueblood, nominated for member-at-large

— v —

Committee on Committees, is not able to serve. The Academic Council will submit a nomination in the Fall. [Secretary's note: The Academic Council, at its meeting on June 17, 1970, nominated Professor R. T. Wedding as member-at-large in place of Professor K. N. Trueblood.]

## **Meetings of the Assembly, 1970-71**

Vice Chairman Mueller announced that the schedule for meetings of the Assembly for the next academic year will be reported at a later date.

## University Welfare

Professor Sooy presented Part III of the Report (page 32) and moved that the Committee on University Welfare be empowered to negotiate with the Administration for fringe benefits as requested. A discussion followed during which Professor Jennings commented on the work undertaken by the Committee and urged support of this motion. Professor Powell stated that the benefits under discussion are listed in the Minutes of the Meeting of the Berkeley Division, March 9 (pages ii, iii). Professor Sooy's motion was approved.

## Interim Variances for all Divisions

With the unanimous consent of the Assembly Professor Sooy presented an addendum to the Report of the Academic Council, copies of which were distributed at the meeting. His motions to approve the following variances to Senate Regulations 782 and 764 as presented in Part IV were seconded and passed:

### Regulation 782

- [1] Upon approval by a Division, grades of *Passed* or *Not Passed* assigned for courses taken during the Spring quarter of 1970 only, shall be disregarded in determining conformity to the limitation on the total number of courses undertaken on *Passed* or *Not Passed* basis.
- [2] Upon approval by a Division, for the Spring quarter of 1970 only, grades of *Passed* or *Not Passed* may be assigned to students whether in academic good standing or not.

### Regulation 764

Upon approval by a Division, for the Spring quarter 1970 only, the limitation to five units of Special Study courses may be waived by the dean of a student's school or college.

## Memorial to The Regents: Lecturers with Security of Employment

Chairman Sooy announced the result of the recent mail ballot on the Memorial to The Regents concerning Senate membership for Senior Lecturers with Security of Employment and Lecturers with Security of Employment as follows: Yes, 2,182; No, 1,239; Abstain, 13.

## Committee on Professional Standards

With unanimous consent of the Assembly, Professor Sooy spoke of the immediate need for a Senate agency to explore the matter of professional standards for faculty conduct. He moved, as the sense of the Assembly, the following, and it was seconded:

The Assembly of the Academic Senate recognizes the need for academic self-discipline in the interests of academic freedom and instructs the Academic Council (in consultation with the Chairmen of the Committees on Academic

— vi —

Freedom and Privilege and Tenure) to explore in depth mechanisms to accomplish this within the structures of the Academic Senate and to report back to the Assembly at the earliest possible time.

A discussion followed concerning the organization and possible charge to the proposed Committee. Among those who took part in the discussion were Professors Gelbaum, Olmsted, Rogger, Frazier, Bickel, Jennings, Knox, Chew, and Ridley. Professor Frazier moved to amend the motion to read "... instructs the Academic Council to suggest careful definition of academic responsibility and possible mechanisms to accomplish..." His motion was seconded but failed to carry by vote on a division: Yes, 17; No, 22. Thereafter, the main motion was approved by a large majority. Professor Sooy then resumed the Chair.

## **Committees**

Professor Andron, Chairman, presented the Report of the Committee on Committees and moved the adoption of the amendments to By-Laws 6, 90, 92, 100, 104, 114, 118, and 122 as recommended on pages 32-34. The motion was seconded and carried.

He then moved adoption of the amendment to By-Law 94 as recommended on page 33. Professor Paige moved to amend further by the substitution, in (1), of the word “members” for “Chairmen”. His motion was seconded and carried, after which By-Law 94 as thus amended was put to vote and passed.

Professor Andron then presented the List of Appointments to Committees of the Academic Senate, 1970-71, copies of which were distributed at the meeting. The List is printed on pages xi-xii as Appendix A.

## **Grading in the University**

The Report of the Committee on Educational Policy (pages 34-41) was presented by its Chairman, Professor Allen, who called attention to two errors as follows: (1) On page 37, in line 10, the word “roll” should read “role”; (2) on page 39, line 10 of the Irvine report, the phrase should read: “... they might not do...”

He presented Part I of the Report, and stated that copies of Divisional reports on grading are on file with the Office of the Secretaries (North) and (South). He moved that Regulation 780 be adopted in principle, as proposed on page 36, and the motion was seconded. He pointed out that this proposal was not a change in legislation, and that, following adoption in principle, the Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction would submit to the Assembly the necessary changes in Senate Regulations, to be effective in the Fall Quarter, 1971. A discussion followed during which Professor Walters moved to postpone action on the motion until the legislation is submitted to the Assembly at the next meeting. His motion was seconded but failed to carry. The motion was then put to vote and approved as the sense of the Assembly.

Vice Chairman Mueller then took the Chair.

## **Student Participation in Senate Activities**

Professor Allen presented Part II of the Report, appearing on pages 36-38, and stated that detailed reports on student participation in each Division were on file in the Office of the Secretary (North). He presented Recommendation 1 (page 38) with the following revision in the last line: “... committees of the Academic Senate on which the Academic Senate deems student membership appropriate.”

— vii —

His motion to approve was seconded. A motion by Professor Olmsted that the recommendation be tabled was seconded and carried. Professor Allen then presented Recommendation 2 and moved its approval. His motion was seconded; however, a motion to table was seconded and passed.

Next, Professor Allen presented Recommendation 3, revised to read: “That the Assembly be recorded as supporting the recommendations of the Task Force on Student Participation in Campus Governance.” His motion to approve was seconded. On request, Professor Allen read the recommendations of the Task Force which are printed on page xiii as Appendix B. At this point a motion to limit debate to ten minutes was seconded and passed. A motion to table the recommendation was seconded but failed to carry, while a motion to approve the report in principle lost for lack of a second. The vote on Recommendation 3, as revised, was then put and passed, 19 to 18. Professor Walters stated that he would move for reconsideration at the next meeting.

## **“Passed” or “Not Passed” Grading (Davis)**

Professor Allen was granted unanimous consent to present variances referred to the Committee on Educational Policy at the meeting of the Assembly on May 19, 1969. (Copies of the relevant portions of the *Minutes* were distributed at the meeting.) He spoke to the Davis request for a variance to Senate Regulation 782. His motion to approve was seconded and carried. The Regulation reads as follows:

A \_\_\_\_\_. A student in good standing is authorized to undertake one course each term on the average on a *Passed* or *Not Passed* basis. In the event that a student does not pass a course under this option no entry shall be made on his transcript. Units thus earned shall be counted in satisfaction of degree requirements, but such courses shall be disregarded in determining the students' grade point average. The *Passed* or *Not Passed* option shall be subject to further regulation, consonant with Academic Senate Regulation 782, by the faculties of the various colleges and schools. This regulation shall be reproduced on all transcripts of record.

## **Grades of “Incomplete” and “No Report” (Irvine)**

Next, Professor Allen moved approval of two variances to Regulation 780 requested by the Irvine Division, at the May 19, 1969, meeting of the Assembly. Upon motion, duly seconded, the following variance was approved, with the understanding that Regulation 634 would apply in cases where there is a conflict between this variance and Regulation 634:

A \_\_\_\_\_. The grade of Incomplete shall not itself be calculated in any way on the student's grade-point average.

Thereafter, approval of the second variance was put to vote and passed. It reads as follows:

A \_\_\_\_\_. The notation NR (No Report) shall be made on the student's record when the faculty member is neither able to assign a grade in the course nor has definite grounds for assigning an Incomplete. The NR shall not itself be calculated in any way in the student's grade-point average. The NR shall be changed to a grade, or perhaps to an Incomplete, when the student completes the work of the course or clarifies his situation. An NR which remains on a student's record for one full quarter shall be changed to an F (or an NP in the case that the course is taken on a *Passed/Not Passed* basis.)

— viii —

## **Experimental Grading Procedures (Irvine)**

With the unanimous consent of the Assembly, Professor Allen moved that approval of the interim grading procedures granted by the Assembly in May 1969 (see minutes, page v) be extended for a two year period ending June 1972. His motion was seconded and, after discussion, approved by a vote of 17 to 13. The variance reads as follows:

The Assembly authorizes the Irvine Division to conduct the following experiment in grading procedures for a two-year period. In departments, programs, or schools which so elect, students may reject final course grades (whether the course is taken by examination or in a more conventional way). Evaluation of student status and progress is expected to take into account the number of course grades recorded per quarter as well as the grade point average. The Division is instructed to report on the results of this educational experiment at the Assembly meeting of May, 1972.

Professor Allen then called attention to a report of the Committee on Educational Policy concerning Committees on the Campus Environment, copies of which were distributed at the meeting. No action was taken. (A copy of the Report is preserved with the Secretary's papers of this meeting.)

## “Satisfactory” or “Unsatisfactory” Grades (San Diego)

On behalf of Professor Jackson, Chairman of the Division, Professor Frazier presented the Report of the San Diego Division and moved approval of the variance to Regulation 784(B) appearing on page 41. The motion was seconded and passed.

## College of Creative Studies (Santa Barbara)

Professor Walters, Chairman of the Santa Barbara Division, presented the annual report concerning the College of Creative Studies (pages 41-42). At his request, the report was received and filed.

## Resolution Concerning Professor F. A. Sooy

The Assembly approved by acclamation a motion presented by Professor Walters that a rising vote of thanks be given to Chairman F. A. Sooy for heroic service and unflinching good humor during one of the University's most trying years.

*Adjourned.*—4:45 p.m.

Attest:

R. A. COCKRELL, *Secretary* (North)

M. D. INTRILIGATOR, *Secretary* (South)

Assembly of the Academic Senate

— ix —

## Attendance Record

The presence of a member at a meeting is shown by an asterisk (\*). A letter opposite a name indicates a vacancy occasioned by the withdrawal of that member. Replacement, when made, is indicated by the same letter following the alphabetical listing. Divisional affiliation is shown in parentheses to the right of the member's name, as follows: (B) Berkeley; (D) Davis; (I) Irvine; (LA) Los Angeles; (R) Riverside; (SD) San Diego; (SF) San Francisco; (SB) Santa Barbara; (SC) Santa Cruz.

Names of Members	Dates of Meeting, 1969-70			
	Oct. 11	Nov. 3	Mar. 16	June 15
<sup>1</sup> Allen, T. L. (D)	*	*	*	*
<sup>2</sup> Andrews, F. C. (SC)	*	*	*	*
Andron, M. (SB)	*	*	*	*
Bickel, P. J. (B)	*	*	*	*
Black, A. L. (D)	*	*		*
Caldwell, D. O. (SB)	*	*	*	*
Campbell, R. N. (LA)	*			<b>C</b>
Chew, G. F. (B)	*	*		*

---

1. + *Ex officio* member

2. + *Ex officio* member



Names of Members	Dates of Meeting, 1969-70			
	Oct. 11	Nov. 3	Mar. 16	June 15
<sup>3</sup> Clark, D. T. (SC)	*	*	*	*
Cressey, D. R. (SB)	*		*	
<sup>4</sup> Featherstone, R. M. (SF)	*	*	*	*
Forsham, P. H. (SF)	*	*	*	*
<sup>5</sup> Galbraith, J. S. (LA)		*		
<sup>6</sup> Gelbaum, B. R. (I)	*	*	*	*
Gerhart, J. C. (B)	*		*	*
Green, D. M. (SD)	*	*	*	
Grogan, R. G. (D)	*	*	*	*
Hawkins, A. (LA)	*		<b>a</b>	
Hicks, T. E. (LA)	*		*	*
<sup>7</sup> Hitch, C. J.		*		*
Imbrie, A. W. (B)	*	*	*	*
<sup>8</sup> Jackson, G. (SD)	*	*	*	
Jennings, R. W. (B)	*	*	*	*
Jones, F. N. (LA)	*	*	*	<b>d</b>
<sup>9</sup> Kaun, D. E. (SC)			*	*
Kimura, S. J. (SF)	*	*	*	*
Knox, W. J. (D)	*	*	*	*
Libby, W. J. (B)	*	*	*	
Longaker, R. P. (LA)	*		*	*
Melden, A. I. (I)	*	*	*	<b>b</b>
<sup>10</sup> Mueller, A. (LA)	*	*	*	*
O'Brien, C. B. (D)	*	*	*	*
<sup>11</sup> Olmsted, D. L. (D)	*	*	*	*
<sup>12</sup> Paige, L. J. (LA)	*	*	*	*

- 
3. + *Ex officio* member  
4. + *Ex officio* member  
5. + *Ex officio* member  
6. + *Ex officio* member  
7. + *Ex officio* member  
8. + *Ex officio* member  
9. ++ Appointed February, 1970  
10. + *Ex officio* member  
11. + *Ex officio* member  
12. + *Ex officio* member

Names of Members	Dates of Meeting, 1969-70			
	Oct. 11	Nov. 3	Mar. 16	June 15
Pecsok, R. L. (LA)	*	*	*	*
Pederson, D. O. (B)	*	*	*	*
<sup>13</sup> Powell, R. E. (B)	*		*	*
<sup>14</sup> Reynolds, W. H. (R)	*	*	*	*
Ridley, F. H. (LA)	*	*	*	*
Rogers, R. A. (I)	*			*
Rogger, H. J. (LA)	*	*	*	*
Schachman, H. K. (B)	*	*	*	*
<sup>15</sup> Sooy, F. A. (SF)	*	*	*	*
Spanier, E. H. (B)		*	*	
<sup>16</sup> Templeton, D. H. (B)		*		*
Thompson, M. E. (R)	*	*	*	*
Van Deusen, L. M. (R)	*	*	*	
<sup>17</sup> Walters, J. L. (SB)	*	*	*	*
Wheeler, J. T. (B)	*	*	*	*
Williams, R. M. (LA)	*	*	*	*
Wright, A. (SD)	*	*	*	
<b>a</b> Fawcett, C. W. (LA)			*	*
<b>b</b> Nelson, T. L. (I)				*
<b>c</b> Foote, C. S. (LA)				*
<b>d</b> Friedman, N. P. (LA)				*

+ *Ex officio* member

++ Appointed February, 1970

## Appendix A: Report of the Committee on Committees

TO THE ASSEMBLY OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE:

The following appointments to Committees of the Academic Senate, 1970-71, are reported for the information of the Assembly.

- 
13. + *Ex officio* member  
14. + *Ex officio* member  
15. + *Ex officio* member  
16. + *Ex officio* member  
17. + *Ex officio* member

*Academic Council:* (All *ex officio*) A. Mueller (LA), Chairman, Chairman of the Assembly; P. E. Zinner (D), Vice Chairman, Vice Chairman of the Assembly; J. deGroot (SF), Chairman, Coordinating Committee on Graduate Affairs; E. R. Hardwick (LA), Chairman, Committee on Budget and Interdivisional Relations;..... Chairman, Committee on Educational Policy. Chairmen of Divisions: M. N. Christensen (B), R. A. Wiggins (D), B. R. Gelbaum (I), B. Aaron (LA), Miss S. E. Sperling (R), G. Jackson (SD), R. M. Featherstone (SF), J. L. Walters (SB), D. T. Clark (SC).

*Academic Freedom:* J. H. Shideler (D), Chairman; S. V. Anderson (SB), F. M. Carney (R), A. Goldfien (SF), W. Nachbar (SD), R. M. O'Neil (B), A. Rosett (LA), A. E. Whitford (SC),..... (I).

*Board of Admissions and Relations with Schools:* M. Rotenberg (SD), Chairman; P. S. Farrington (LA), L. Glass (SF), P. L. Healey (I), D. McEntire (B), Mrs. T. Kendler (SB), Fall [T. Wilson (SB), Winter, Spring]; V. H. Shoemaker (R),..... (D),..... (SC).

*Budget and Interdivisional Relations:* Members-at-large: E. R. Hardwick (LA), 1972<sup>18</sup>, Chairman; R. M. Keefer (D), 1972; C. H. Pritchett (SB), 1973; L. D. Tuck (SF), 1973, *Ex officio*: E. S. Gaustad (R), A. Margulis (SF), D McElrath (SC), S. Moore (SD), J. W. Rosen (D), N. Rynn (I), H. Turner (SB), D. Votaw (B), S. Wright (LA).

*Editorial:* Berkeley: R. W. Rader, Co-Chairman; A. Fishlow, C. A. Johnson (one member to be appointed). Davis: B. Berger; Irvine: D. W. Heiney; Los Angeles: E. Carterette, W. Karplus, P. Ladefoged, S. Vryonis; Riverside: J. L. Beatty, H. P. Bailey; San Diego: M. E. Soule; San Francisco: L. L. Bennett; Santa Barbara: W. K. Purves, Co-Chairman; W. H. Kenner; Santa Cruz: Mrs. P. W. Shaw.

*Education Abroad Program:* Member-at-large: H. Baerwald (LA), Chairman; *Ex officio members:* M. Bach (D), H. Bruman (LA), G. Cespedes (SD), C. A. Dekker (B), R. Goldsmith (SF), S. Karamardian (I), P. W. Powell (SB), H. Shontz (SC), C. G. Uhr (R).

*Educational Policy:* (Chairman to be named) *Ex officio members:* F. C. Andrews (SC), Vice Chairman; H. Adams (I), M. Benamou (SD), R. D. Cramer (D), A. DeConde (SB), M. A. Greenfield (LA), H. Landahl (SF), R. B. March (R), B. P. OHehir (B); Chairman of the Assembly: A. Mueller. Appointed members: F. H. Carpenter (B), D. Fink (SF), D. Helinski (SD), D. L. Jacobsen (D), D. C. McCollum (R), W. H. Smoke (I), H. K. Ticho (LA),..... (SB),..... (SC).

*Governing Board of the Pension and Retiring Annuities System:* D. E. Feller (B) (June 1973); I. Pfeffer (LA) (June 1974).

— xii —

*Library:* M. A. Murphy (R), Chairman; P. Chapin (SD), G. Grossman (B), C. Gullans (LA), M. Kanen (SC), M. Mazelis (D), B. T. Sankey (SB), A. J. Trevor (SF), G. Van Hoven (I).

*Graduate Affairs, Coordinating Committee on:* Members-at-large: J. deGroot (SF), Chairman; H. Dorra (SB), V. N. Schumaker (LA), M. Wolins (B). *Ex officio members:* President of the University: C. J. Hitch; Chairmen of Divisional Graduate Councils: A. Burkhalter (SF), J. H. Connell (SB), Mrs. J. Langenheim (SC), G. Masek (SD), R. E. Poppino (D), F. Reines (I), Miss F. Ridley (LA), N. V. Riasanovsky (B),..... (R). Deans of Graduate Divisions: R. O. Collins (SB), S. S. Elberg (B), H. A. Harper (SF), R. R. Hewitt (R), K. E. Justice (I), H. W. Magoun (LA), A. G. Marr (D), M. Sands (SC), H. F. York (SD).

*Privilege and Tenure:* Members-at-large: H. T. Spieth (D), 1971,<sup>19</sup> Chairman; H. W. Horowitz (LA) 1972. Representatives of Divisions: C. R. Grau (D), 1972; G. Laties (LA), 1973; B. Libet (SF), 1972; W. C. Mead

---

18. Term of office ends August 31 of the year indicated.

19. Term of office ends August 31 of the year indicated.

(R), 1972 (temporarily replacing D. R. Parker); J. H. Reynolds (B), 1971; F. M. Tonge (I), 1973; W. C. Walker (SB), 1971; A. E. Whitford (SC), 1972.

*Research:* Members-at-large: J. C. Coleman (LA), Chairman; J. H. Comroe, Jr. (SF), representative on University Board of Patents; S. Schlanger (R); (one member-at-large to be appointed). *Ex officio members:* E. G. Biglieri (SF), R. R. Brown (B), W. T. Doyle (SC), R. Erickson (SD), C. L. Hansen (R), J. J. Kaneko (D), S. Krebs (SB), H. M. Lenhoff (I), R. M. Williams (LA), Chairman, CCGA: J. deGroot.

*Rules and Jurisdiction:* Members-at-large: L. F. Walton (SB), Chairman; A. I. Melden (I), D. W. Louisell (B). Appointed members: L. A. Strait (SF), E. B. Collins (D).

*Subject A:* R. E. Freeman (LA), Chairman; G. T. Amis (SC), J. Behar (SD), J. S. Coolidge (B), W. C. Harsh (D), D. McPherson (SB), J. F. Norton (R), S. Van Marter (I).

*Undergraduate Scholarships, Honors and Prizes:* M. D. Jones (SF), Chairman; R. Burton (SD), R. A. Freedland (D), J. A. Harder (B), J. W. Isbister (SC), H. Kirker (SB), R. Lasiewski (LA), R. O. Loveridge (R), C. S. McLaughlin (I).

*University Extension:* Members-at-large: R. L. Wiegel (B), Chairman; Mrs. E. E. Werner (D), D. L. MacMillan (R), B. Pavone (SF). *Ex officio members:* J. Arditti (I), A. A. Benson (SD), M. Holliday (SF), A. Kleingartner (LA), R. B. Krone (D), S. A. Margen (B), T. Meidav (R), N. M. Shaffer (SC), S. B. Shapiro (SB).

*University Welfare: Ex officio:* A. A. Kragen, Chairman; N. Brill (LA), M. L. Burton (I), M. J. Greenberg (SC), G. A. King (D), R. S. Michaelsen (SB), D. M. Brinkerhoff (R), T. N. Tozer (SF),..... (SD). Members-at-large: L. D. Leach (D), 1971; S. E. Harris (SD), 1971; A. Moir (SB), 1972. Representative on the Governing Board of PRAS: D. E. Feller (B).

*Special Committee on Revision of the Manual of the Academic Senate:* L. F. Walton (SB), Chairman; R. H. Fitzgibbon (SB), L. A. Strait (SF).

*Respectfully submitted for the Committee*  
*M. ANDRON, Chairman*

June 15, 1970

\* Term of office ends August 31 of the year indicated.

— xiii —

## **Appendix B: Recommendations of the Task Force on Student Participation in Campus Governance**

1. Departments and other similar units should establish a means for increased involvement of students in the decision-making process.
2. Colleges and multi-departmental schools should establish a means for involving students in the formulation of over-all policy.
3. Campus administrators should create suitable mechanisms for insuring effective student input at every appropriate point in the administrative process.
4. The Academic Senate should continue to develop and implement procedures by which students become increasingly involved in consultation and discussion of matters related to scholarly endeavors, courses, and curricula.
5. In the light of prior recommendations and in order to insure effective, representative student involvement in campus decision-making processes, student governments should be strengthened by all

possible means.

6. Campuses should provide a means by which broad concerns of the University can be discussed by representatives of the entire community—faculty, students, staff, and administration—and which would provide advice to the various segments of the University.